BEFORE THE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT COMMITTEE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)		
JILL BERTOLDO, P.A.)))	Case No:	1E-2002-140815
Physician Assistant License No. PA-15085)		
Respond	ent.))		•

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulation for Surrender of License is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision and Order by the Physician Assistant Committee, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on __October 15, 2004

ORDERED October 8, 2004

PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT COMMITTEE

Robert Sachs, P.A., Chairperson

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California 2 VIVIEN H. HARA Supervising Deputy Attorney General RUSSELL W. LEE (State Bar No. 094106) 3 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 4 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 5 Oakland, California 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2217 Facsimile: (510) 622-2121 6 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 9 BEFORE THE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT COMMITTEE OF THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 13 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 14 JILL BERTOLDO, P.A. 15935 Foothill Ave. 15 Morgan Hill, CA 95037 Physician Assistant License No. PA-15085 16 Respondent. 17 18 19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the 20 above-entitled proceedings, that the following matters are true: Complainant, Richard L. Wallinder, Jr., is the Executive Officer of the 21 1. 22 Physician Assistant Committee of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California ("the Committee") and is represented by Bill Lockyer, Attorney 23 24 General of the State of California, by Russell W. Lee, Deputy Attorney General. 25 Jill Bertoldo, P.A. ("respondent") is represented in this matter by attorney Joseph Hoffman, Weinberg, Hoffman, Casey & Ropers, whose address is 679 Bridgeway, 26 Sausalito, CA 94965, (415) 289-0243. Respondent has counseled with her attorney concerning 27 28 the effect of this Stipulation For Surrender of License ("Stipulation") which respondent has

carefully read and fully understands.

3. Respondent has received and read the Accusation which is presently on file and pending in Case Number 1E-2002-140815 ("Accusation") before the Committee, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

review.

22.

4. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, such charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon respondent's license issued by the Committee. Respondent and her counsel are aware of each of respondent's rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against respondent, the right to testify and present evidence on her own behalf, as well as to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, the right to contest the charges and allegations, and other rights which are accorded respondent pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, § 11500 et seq.) and other applicable

5. In order to avoid the expense and uncertainty of a hearing, respondent freely and voluntarily waives each and every one of these rights set forth above and admits the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 2 through 29 on Pages 1 through 18 of the Accusation.

laws, including the right to seek reconsideration, review by the superior court, and appellate

6. Respondent agrees not to contest for purposes of this Stipulation that good cause exists to discipline Physician Assistant License No. PA-15085 for the following causes: gross negligence pursuant to sections 2234(b) and 3527 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code"); repeated negligent acts pursuant to sections 2234(c) and 3527 of the Code; incompetence pursuant to sections 2234(d) and 3527 of the Code; acts or omissions which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician assistant pursuant to sections 2234(e) and 3527 of the Code; violation of sections 3502.1 (c) and 3527 of the Code, in that respondent administered, provided or issued a drug order for Schedule II through Schedule V controlled substances without advance approval by a supervising physician and surgeon for the

3 |

particular patient; violation of sections 2242(a) and 3527 of the Code in that respondent prescribed dangerous drugs and controlled substances without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor; violation of sections 2239(a) and 3527 of the Code in that respondent used and prescribed to herself and administered to herself dangerous drugs and controlled substances; violation of section 810(a) of the Code in that respondent knowingly presented or caused to be presented a meritless claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance, and knowingly prepared, made, or subscribed a writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allowed it to be presented or used in support of a meritless claim; violation of section 2238 of the Code in conjunction with sections 4324 and 3527 of the Code in that respondent signed the name of another, or faisely made, altered, forged, uttered, published, passed, or attempted to pass, as genuine, a prescription for drugs and had in her possession drug(s) secured by a forged prescription; and violation of section 2238 of the Code in conjunction with section 3527 of the Code in that respondent violated Health and Safety Code sections 11152, 11153, 11154, 11156, 11157, 11170, 11171, 11173(a), 11173(b), 11174, 11180, 11368, 11371 and 11371.1.

- Respondent hereby surrenders Physician Assistant License No. PA-15085
 for the Committee's formal acceptance.
- Respondent understands that by signing this Stipulation, she is enabling the Committee to issue its order accepting the surrender of her license without further process. Respondent understands and agrees that Committee staff and counsel for Complainant may communicate directly with the Committee regarding this Stipulation, without notice to or participation by respondent or her counsel. In the event that this Stipulation is rejected for any reason by the Committee, it will be of no force or effect for either party. The Committee will not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this Stipulation.
- 9. In further consideration of this Stipulation, the respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Committee the amount of \$ 5000.00 for its partial investigative and prosecution costs, on or prior to the filing date of any future Petition for Reinstatement of respondent's Physician Assistant License. Said costs reimbursement shall be in the form of a cashier's check

or bank draft made payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to timely reimburse the Committee's cost of investigation and prosecution as set forth shall constitute grounds for denial of the Petition for Reinstatement of License. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Committee for its investigative and prosecution costs.

- 10. Upon acceptance of the Stipulation by the Committee, respondent understands that she will no longer be permitted to practice as a physician assistant in California, and also agrees to surrender and cause to be delivered to the Committee both her license and wallet certificate before the effective date of the decision.
- application for relicensure or reinstatement in the State of California, the Committee shall treat it as a Petition for Reinstatement of License, the respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No.1E-2002-140815 will be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by respondent when the Committee determines whether to grant or deny the petition.
- 12. All admissions and recitals contained in this Stipulation are made solely for the purpose of settlement in this proceeding and for any other proceedings in which the Committee or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.
- 13. In mitigation, respondent was cooperative during the Medical Board investigation. Respondent advises that she will work diligently at rehabilitative efforts in order to have her Physician Assistant License reinstated, and, among other things, intends to seek treatment and counseling in the Committee's Diversion Program or equivalent program, and to take additional CME units.

ACCEPTANCE

I, Jill Bertoldo, P.A., have carefully read the above Stipulation and enter into it freely and voluntarily with the advice of counsel, and with full knowledge of its force and effect,

: UG-10-2004	15:57	CA D.O.J. OAKL
	•	

11	
1	do hereby surrender my Physician Assistant License No. PA-1508
2	Committee of the Medical Board of California, for its formal acce
3	Stipulation For Surrender of License, I recognize that upon its for
4	Committee, I will lose all rights and privileges to practice as a phy
5	California and I also will cause to be delivered to the Committee I
6	certificate before the effective date of the decision.
7	DATED: 9/1/64
8	
9	Jair Bertol
10	JILL BERTOLDO, P.A. Respondent
11	· ·
12	I have read the above Stipulation For Surrender of
13	form and content. I have fully discussed the terms and condition
14	respondent Jill Bertoldo, P.A.
15	DATED: 4/1/04
16	DATED: $\frac{\sqrt{l/\delta \varphi}}{\sqrt{2}}$
17	X Holla
18	JOSEPH HOFFMAN, EX
19	Attorney for Respondent
20	
21	<i>"</i>
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulation For Surrender of License is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration of the Physician Assistant Committee, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: 9-2-04

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

Russell W. Lee Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

EXHIBIT A

STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General 1 SACRAMENTO! of the State of California VIVIEN H. HARA 2 Supervising Deputy Attorney General RUSSELL W. LEE (State Bar No. 094106) 3 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 4 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 5 Oakland, California 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2217 6 Facsimile: (510) 622-2121 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE 9 PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT COMMITTEE OF THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 Case No.: 1E-2002-140815 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 13 ACCUSATION 14 JILL BERTOLDO, P.A. 815 Breeze Place 15 Redwood City, CA 94062 Physician Assistant License No. PA-15085 16 Respondent. 17 18 The Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** 20 Complainant, Richard L. Wallinder, Jr., is the Executive Officer of the 1. 21 Physician Assistant Committee of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer 22 Affairs, State of California (hereinafter "the Committee") and brings this Accusation solely in his 23 official capacity. 24 On or about January 5, 2000, Physician Assistant License No. PA-15085 2. 25 was issued by the Committee to Jill Bertoldo (hereinafter "respondent" or "Bertoldo"). 26 Respondent's license, if not renewed, will expire on July 31, 2005. 27 28 ///

FILED

11

12 13

1415

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28 | ///

- 3. Section 3504 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter referred to as "the Code") provides for the existence of the Committee within the Medical Board of California (hereinafter "the Board").
- Section 3527 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Committee may order the suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon a physician assistant license after a hearing as required in Section 3528 for unprofessional conduct which includes, but is not limited to a violation of this chapter (Chapter 7.7, §3500, et seq. of the Business and Professions Code), a violation of the Medical Practice Act (Business and Professions Code §2000 et seq.) or a violation of the regulations adopted by the Committee or the Board.
 - 5. Section 3502.1. of the Code provides, in pertinent part as follows:
- In addition to the services authorized in the regulations adopted by the (a) board, and except as prohibited by Section 3502, while under the supervision of a licensed physician and surgeon or physicians and surgeons authorized by law to supervise a physician assistant, a physician assistant may administer or provide medication to a patient, or transmit orally, or in writing on a patient's record or in a drug order, an order to a person who may lawfully furnish the medication or medical device pursuant to subdivisions (c) and (d). (1) A supervising physician and surgeon who delegates authority to issue a drug order to a physician assistant may limit this authority by specifying the manner in which the physician assistant may issue delegated prescriptions. (2) Each supervising physician and surgeon who delegates the authority to issue a drug order to a physician assistant shall first prepare and adopt, or adopt, a written, practice specific, formulary and protocols that specify all criteria for the use of a particular drug or device, and any contraindications for the selection. The drugs listed shall constitute the formulary and shall include only drugs that are appropriate for use in the type of practice engaged in by the supervising physician and surgeon. When issuing a drug order, the physician assistant is acting on behalf of and as an agent for a supervising physician and surgeon.

(b) "Drug order" for purposes of this section means an order for medication which is dispensed to or for a patient, issued and signed by a physician assistant acting as an individual practitioner within the meaning of Section 1306.02 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations. -Notwithstanding any other provision of law, (1) a drug order issued pursuant to this section shall be treated in the same manner as a prescription or order of the supervising physician, (2) all references to "prescription" in this code and the Health and Safety Code shall include drug orders issued by physician assistants pursuant to authority granted by their supervising physicians, and (3) the signature of a physician assistant on a drug order shall be deemed to be the signature of a prescriber for purposes of this code and the Health and Safety Code.

(c) A drug order for any patient cared for by the physician assistant that is issued by the physician assistant shall either be based on the protocols described in subdivision (a) or shall be approved by the supervising physician before it is filled or carried out. (1) A physician assistant shall not administer or provide a drug or issue a drug order for a drug other than for a drug listed in the formulary without advance approval from a supervising physician and surgeon for the particular patient. At the direction and under the supervision of a physician and surgeon, a physician assistant may hand to a patient of the supervising physician and surgeon a properly labeled prescription drug prepackaged by a physician and surgeon, manufacturer as defined in the Pharmacy Law, or a pharmacist. (2) A physician assistant may not administer, provide or issue a drug order for Schedule II through Schedule V controlled substances without advance approval by a supervising physician and surgeon for the particular patient. (3) Any drug order issued by a physician assistant shall be subject to a reasonable quantitative limitation consistent with customary medical practice in the supervising physician and surgeon's practice.

(d) A written drug order issued pursuant to subdivision (a), except a written drug order in a patient's medical record in a health facility or medical practice, shall contain the printed name, address, and phone number of the supervising physician and surgeon, the printed or stamped name and license number of the physician assistant, and the signature of the physician

assistant. Further, a written drug order for a controlled substance, except a written drug order in a patient's medical record in a health facility or a medical practice, shall include the federal controlled substances registration number of the physician assistant. The requirements of this subdivision may be met through stamping or otherwise imprinting on the supervising physician and surgeon's prescription blank to show the name, license number, and if applicable, the federal controlled substances number of the physician assistant, and shall be signed by the physician assistant. When using a drug order, the physician assistant is acting on behalf of and as the agent of a supervising physician and surgeon.

- (e) The medical record of any patient cared for by a physician assistant for whom the supervising physician and surgeon's drug order has been issued or carried out shall be reviewed and countersigned and dated by a supervising physician and surgeon within seven days.

 (f) All physician assistants who are authorized by their supervising physicians to issue drug orders for controlled substances shall register with the United States Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA).
- 6. Section 2234 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
 - (c) Repeated negligent acts. if

^{1.} Effective January 1, 2003, this subdivision was amended to read:

[&]quot;(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

⁽¹⁾ An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.

⁽²⁾ When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a

- (d) Incompetence.
- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- (f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- 7. Section 2242(a) of the Code (Medical Practice Act) provides that the prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing of dangerous drugs as defined in section 4022 of the Code without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor, constitutes unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, and through section 3527 of the Code, for a physician assistant.
- 8. Section 2238 of the Code provides that a violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating narcotics, dangerous drugs, or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct.
 - 9. Section 2239, subdivision (a) of the Code provides as follows:
 - 2239. (a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4211, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.
 - 10. Section 810 of the Code states:
 - "(a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his or her professional

reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care."

activities:

- "(1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
- "(2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim.
- "(b) It shall constitute cause for revocation or suspension of a license or certificate for a health care professional to engage in any conduct prohibited under Section 1871.4 of the Insurance Code or Section 550 of the Penal Code.
- "(c) As used in this section, health care professional means any person licensed or certified pursuant to this division, or licensed pursuant to the Osteopathic Initiative Act, or the Chiropractic Initiative Act."
 - 11. Section 4324 of the Code provides as follows:
- (a) Every person who signs the name of another, or of a fictitious person, or falsely makes, alters, forges, utters, publishes, passes, or attempts to pass, as genuine, any prescription for any drugs is guilty of forgery and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than one year.
- (b) Every person who has in his or her possession any drugs secured by a forged prescription shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than one year.
- 12. Section 1399.521 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

"In addition to the grounds set forth in Section 3527, subd. (a), of the code, the committee may ... suspend, revoke, or place on probation a physician's assistant for the following causes:

(a) Any violation of the State Medical Practice Act which would constitute unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon.

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25 26

27 28 (e) Performing medical tasks which exceed the scope of practice of a physician assistant as prescribed in these regulations."

13. The following provisions of the California Health and Safety Code (hereinafter "HS") provide, in pertinent part, as indicated:

A. HS 11152. No person shall write, issue, fill, compound, or dispense a prescription that does not conform to this division.

(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall B. HS 11153. only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) air order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized methadone maintenance program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use. (b) Any person who knowingly violates this section shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison or in the county jail not exceeding one year, or by a fine not exceeding twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000), or by both a fine and imprisonment.

C. HS 11154. (a) Except in the regular practice of his or her profession, no person shall knowingly prescribe, administer, dispense, or furnish a controlled substance to or for any person or animal which is not under his or her treatment for a pathology or condition other than addiction to a controlled substance, except as provided in this division.

D. HS 11156. No person shall prescribe for or administer, or dispense a controlled substance to an addict or habitual user, or to any person representing himself as such, except as permitted by this division.

narcotic drug classified in Schedule III, IV, or V, or who in any voluntary manner solicits,

27

28

induces, encourages or intimidates any minor with the intent that such minor shall commit any such offense, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison or in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by a fine not exceeding twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000), or by both such fine and imprisonment.

M. HS 11371.1 Any person who shall knowingly violate any of the provisions of Section 11173 or 11174 with respect to (1) a controlled substance specified in subdivision (b), (c), or (d) of Section 11055, or (2) a controlled substance specified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) of Section 11056, or (3) a controlled substance which is a narcotic drug classified in Schedule III, IV, or V, or who in any voluntary manner solicits, induces, encourages or intimidates any minor with the intent that such minor shall commit any such offense, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison, or in a county jail not exceeding one year.

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the California Department of Consumer Affairs, the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation/violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

- 15. The following drugs are classified as follows:
- A. Hydrocodone Bitartrate (generic for Vicodin, Lortab and others): Hydrocodone bitartrate is produced by several drug manufacturers. Hydrocodone with APAP is known by the trade name "Tylenol #3 or #4." Hydrocodone 5 mg with acetaminophen 500 mg is known by the trade name "Vicodin" ("5/500") and hydrocodone 7.5 mg with acetaminophen 750 mg is known by the trade name "Vicodin ES" ("7.5/750"), and it is known as "Vicodin HP" and "Norco" at 10 mg strength. Hydrocodone is semisynthetic narcotic analgesic, a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code, a Schedule III controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11056 (e) (4) of the Health and Safety Code [not more than 15 mg dihydrocodeinone (an early pharmaceutical term currently known as hydrocodone) per dosage

unit with a nonnarcotic ingredient], and a Schedule III controlled substance as defined by section 1308.13 (e) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Used primarily for pain control, it has a moderately high potential for abuse. Repeated administration of hydrocodone over a course of several weeks may result in psychological and physical dependence. Hydrocodone is also contained in varying amounts in preparations sold under the brand names Vicoprofen, Vicotuss, Lortab, Lorcet and Zydone. The usual adult dosage is one tablet every four to six hours as needed for pain. The total 24 hour dose should not exceed 8 tablets of 5 mgs or 5 tablets of 7.5 mgs (40 mgs per 24 hours).

- B. Cephalexin is a semisynthetic cephalosporin antibiotic and is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code.
- C. Levoxyl is a synthetic crystalline levothyroxine sodium (L-thyroxine) and is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- If. This case was initiated based on a consumer complaint from Patrick Bitter, Jr., M.D. (hereinafter "Dr. Bitter, Jr. ") regarding, Jill Bertoldo, P.A., an employee he terminated from employment. Dr. Bitter, Jr. alleged that Bertoldo was calling in prescriptions for narcotics under a co-worker's name and picking them up for self use. The co-worker was not aware of the prescriptions that were being picked up and going through her insurance. It was also alleged that Bertoldo prescribed Hydrocodone to a co-worker's son for tooth pain without an examination. The prescription was called in the employee's name for insurance purposes but intended for her minor son. The complaint included notes from when Bertoldo was terminated for the fraudulent prescriptions (which she admitted), and include a note where Bertoldo's husband apparently stated she "messed up again" and asked an employee "if Jill was using?"
- 17. An investigation was thereafter conducted by the Medical Board on behalf of the Committee, which revealed as follows:
- A. On 7/29/03, Bertoldo was interviewed by a Medical Board Investigator. Bertoldo was accompanied by her attorney, Edward Hinshaw, Esq., and with their consent the interview was recorded on audio tape. The following is a summary of the interview:

(1) Bertoldo attended the P.A. program in Des Moines, Iowa at the University of Osteopathic Medicine and Health Sciences from 6/97 and graduated in 6/99. Bertoldo did her one year of clinical work in the San Francisco Bay Area, CA.

(2) Bertoldo started employment in 9/99 with Dr. Henry Fee in San Jose which lasted about 4 months. She then was employed by a spa in Los Gatos under Dr. Stewart Melnick. From 1/00- 8/00 or 9/00, she worked part time for Dr. Catherine Grellet and Dr. Graven an ob/gyn. During her part time work she started working for Dr. Toig, ob/gyn, one day a week which became full time in 1/01 or 2/01. She was also working for Laurel Home Care for in home care of elderly patients with no supervising physician. From 11/00- 5/01, Bertoldo worked for Dr. Steven Struck. She worked part time for Dr. Marilyn Moren from 5/01-12/01.

(3) In 12/01, Bertoldo started working for Dr. Bitter, Jr. in a dermatology office in Los Gatos. Bertoldo called in a prescription for Hydrocodone under a coworker's name and picked up the medication. Bertoldo claimed the Hydrocodone was intended for Bertoldo's mother-in-law. Bertoldo claimed that her mother-in-law's insurance only covered a certain amount of prescriptions and she needed pain medication for the hip surgery she just had.

(4) In 1/02 or 2/02, co-worker, T.G.² brought up that Bertoldo could call in a prescription under T.G.'s name because T.G. had good insurance. Bertoldo stated that T.G. came to her and told her that her son was having bad tooth pain and that she could not get him into the doctor right away. T.G. asked Bertoldo if she could call in a prescription for him. In 1/02 or 2/02, Bertoldo admitted that she called in a prescription for Vicodin for T.G.'s high school aged son. Bertoldo stated that T.G. told her that she could call in prescription under her name when ever she needed because T.G.'s insurance covered more than Bertoldo's. Bertoldo admitted that T.G. was not aware of every time Bertoldo called in and picked up prescriptions under T.G.'s name and insurance.

(5) Bertoldo stated that she would get permission from the office manager, R.L., to call in prescriptions for herself (Bertoldo). Bertoldo stated that many of

^{2.} Full names of individuals identified by initials will be provided upon a proper Request For Discovery.

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

the prescriptions went to her mother-in-law. Bertoldo stated that she did not know it was wrong to call in prescriptions the way she was doing

- (6) Bertoldo admitted that Dr. Bitter, Jr., was not aware of her calling in prescriptions. Bertoldo admitted to taking 5-6 Hydrocodone a day for headaches.
- On 1/27/04, Dr. Bitter, Jr., T.G., J.S. and R.L. were interviewed by В. a Medical Board Investigator. Said interviewees were accompanied by their attorney, Edward Hinshaw, Esq., and with their consent, the interview was recorded on audio tape. The following is a summary of the interview:
- T.G. stated that her and Bertoldo had a conversation about (1) T.G.'s son having a tooth ache and his not being covered under insurance and not being able to afford to take him to the doctor. T.G. stated that she was going to pick him up some Tylenol over the counter. Bertoldo stated that Tylenol was not going to be strong enough and that he needed an antibiotic for the abscess. Bertoldo told T.G. that she called in the prescriptions to Walgreens. T.G. picked up the prescriptions and gave her son 2-3 pills of the pain medicine which made him sick. T.G. later found out it was the strongest Vicodin; T.G. wanted nothing to do with the pain medication because her son was only 15 years old. The next day Bertoldo called T.G. and stated that she had a bad headache and her lower back was hurting and wanted the pain medicine back that T.G. had. T.G. gave it back to Bertoldo.
- T.G. stated that in 8/02, she had a tooth ache and Bertoldo (2) called in a prescription for Hydrocodone, T.G. said she did not want the medication. The Walgreen's pharmacy on Almaden called T.G. and asked if it was okay if Bertoldo picked up her medication; T.G. said no and asked to speak with Bertoldo, then T.G. told Bertoldo, no thank you. Bertoldo came back with medication from another pharmacy. T.G. did not want the medications and the next day Bertoldo called T.G. and asked if she could have the medication back because she was having back pain. T.G. gave her the medication. T.G. stated she never gave Bertoldo permission to use her insurance.
- T.G. stated that in 9/02, T.G. was prescribed Hydrocodone (3) by her own physician (not Dr. Bitter). The pharmacy said that they could not fill the prescription

because she had picked up a similar prescription a few days before. T.G. stated that she spoke with the pharmacy staff at the Almaden Walgreen's and they stated that T.G. had called in and stated that Bertoldo was going to pick up the medication because she was on bed rest. T.G. became very upset because T.G. had not called Walgreens and that Bertoldo had lied to pick up the medication for herself. T.G. called R.L. (office manager) at home and told her about the incident. T.G. confronted Bertoldo about the incident and she told T.G. that the medication was for her mother- in-law because she did not have insurance.

- (4) J.S. stated that Bertoldo prescribed antibiotics for her on a few occasions.
- (5) Dr. Bitter, Jr., was interviewed and claimed he was not aware of the pain medication that was being prescribed by Bertoldo. Dr. Bitter, Jr., was available almost daily, he worked the same hours as Bertoldo. Bertoldo never asked Dr. Bitter, Jr., for any prescriptions. Dr. Bitter never prescribed any medication for Bertoldo, except maybe Accutane. Dr. Bitter stated that he was not aware of any of the prescriptions for narcotics being called in for Bertoldo or T.G..
- (6) R.L. was interviewed and stated that Bertoldo would prescribe antibiotics and Accutane to office staff. One weekend Bertoldo called R.L. at home and asked her to call in Vicodin, R.L. stated that she could not. R.L. stated that she could not remember Bertoldo coming to her to get approval to call in prescriptions. After the meeting (in which Bertoldo was terminated as an employee) Bertoldo was very upset and her comment was "I am just going to kill myself..." R.L. followed Bertoldo out after the meeting because she was worried about her safety. R.L. sat in the car with Bertoldo and saw pills that were Vicodin in her glove box, Bertoldo stated that they were for her mother-in-law. Bertoldo stated that she did not take Vicodin. Bertoldo stated that she had screwed up again. R.L. spoke to Bertoldo's husband, Tim, who questioned whether Jill had "screwed up" with "meds" again.
- C. On 3/11/04, the Medical Board Investigator interviewed Catherine Grellet, M.D. who employed Bertoldo in the past. Pharmacy records showed that Bertoldo received three prescriptions for Hydrocodone and one for Vicodin prescribed by Dr. Grellet. Dr.

An audit of local area pharmacy records by the Medical Board D. Investigator revealed, inter alia, that the following prescriptions had been telephoned in and dispensed:

Walgreens	(North	Capitol Ave.	- San	Jose)
-----------	--------	--------------	-------	-------

		<u> </u>			
7	Rx Number	Drug	Date	Quantity	Patient/Prescriber
8		Lortab 10 mg.	8/29/02	30	T.G./Bitter, Jr.
9		Keflex 500 mg.	4/25/02	28	T.G./Bitter, Jr.
10		Lortab 5 mg.	4/25/02	30	T.G./Bitter, Jr.
11		Keflex 500 mg.	2/8/02	28	T.G /Bitter, Jr.
12		Vicodin ES	2/8/02	30	T.G./Bitter, Jr.
13		Walgreens (A	lmaden Road -	San Jose)	
14	Rx Number	Drug	Date	Quantity	Patient/Prescriber

14	Rx Number	Drug	Date	Quantity	Patient/Prescriber
15	0521129-01897	Levoxyl 25 mcg	2/24/02	90	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
16	0722677-02957	Levoxyl 25 mcg	1/25/02	90	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
17	0743828-02957	Hydrocodone/APAP	3/18/02	30	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
18	0749839-02957	Hydrocodone/APAP	5/8/02	30	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
19	0749839-02957	Hydrocodone/APAP	5/14/02	30	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
20	0749839-02957	Hydrocodone/APAP	5/30/02	30	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
21	0767156-02957	Hydrocodone/APAP	7/21/02	30	·Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
22	0767156-02957	Hydrocodone/APAP	7/24/02	30	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
23	0767156-02957	Hydrocodone/APAP	9/17/02	30	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
24	0930630-04243	Hydrocodone/APAP	8/3/02	30	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
25	535343-1897	Hydrocodone/APAP	7/19/02	30	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
26	541743-1897	Hydrocodone/APAP	9/26/02	30	T.G./Bertoldo
	11				

1		Rite .	Aid Pharmacy		
2	Rx Number	Drug	Date	Quantity	Patient/Prescriber
3	93393	Hydrocodone/APAP	7/8/00	30	Bertoldo/Grellet
4	169268	Vicodin HP	9/20/00	30	Bertoldo/Grellet
5		Walgreens	(Corporate Re	ecords)	
6	Rx Number	Drug	Date	Quantity	Patient/Prescriber
7	0743828-02957	Hydrocodone/APAP	4/14/02	30	Bertoldo/Bitter, Jr.
8	1024587	Hydrocodone/APAP	7/8/00	60	Bertoldo/Grellet
9	0455794	Hydrocodone/APAP	5/5/00	60	Bertoldo/Grellet
10		E. In truth and is	n fact, responde	ent telephoned i	in said prescriptions
11	without proper physician authorization and/or patient knowledge (in the case of T.G.), and				
12	diverted said drugs to	o her own self use or fo	or use by other	individuals oth	er than T.G
13	ACTS OR OMISSIONS				
14	18.	Respondent committee	ted the followir	ng acts or omiss	ions in her practice as a
15	physician assistant:				-
16		-			dangerous drugs and
17	 				
18	! !	respondent's own sel			
19	including, but not lin	mited to, respondent's			
20		-			gs and controlled
21	1.				n, and/or without T.G.'s
22	knowledge and/or c	onsent, and/or without	proper authoriz	zation from resp	pondent' supervising
23	physician, Dr. Bitte				
24	ii ii				's health insurance
25	il -	fraudulent claims for the			gs and controlled
26	substances, without	T.G.'s knowledge and			. 1
27	'	D. Respondent	telephoned in	prescriptions fo	r dangerous drugs and

28 controlled substances using respondent's own name as the patient, using the names of Dr. Bitter,

Jr. and/or Dr. Grellet as the prescribers, then obtained the prescription drugs and diverted the drugs to respondent's own self use, or for use by other individuals, including, but not limited to, respondent's mother-in law; and/or

E. Respondent telephoned in prescriptions for dangerous drugs and controlled substances using respondent's own name as the patient, without examination of respondent by Dr. Bitter, Jr. and/or Dr. Grellet, and/or without medical indication therefore, and/or without proper authorization from her supervising physicians, Dr. Bitter, Jr. and/or Dr. Grellet.

VIOLATIONS

- 19. Respondent's conduct as set forth paragraphs 16 through 18, hereinabove, constitutes general unprofessional conduct and is cause for disciplinary action pursuant to sections 2234 and 3527 of the Code.
- 20. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraphs 16 through 18, hereinabove, constitutes gross negligence and is cause for disciplinary action pursuant to sections 2234(b) and 3527 of the Code.
- 21. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraphs 16 through 18, hereinabove constitutes repeated negligent acts and is cause for disciplinary action pursuant to sections 2234(c) and 3527 of the Code.
- 22. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraphs 16 through 18, hereinabove constitutes incompetence and is cause for disciplinary action pursuant to sections 2234(d) and 3527 of the Code.
- 23. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraphs 16 through 18, hereinabove constitutes dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician assistant and is cause for disciplinary action pursuant to sections 2234(e) and 3527 of the Code.
- 24. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraphs 16 through 18, hereinabove constitutes a violation of sections 3502.1 (c) and 3527 of the Code, in that she administered, provided or issued a drug order for Schedule II through Schedule V controlled

28 ///

substances without advance approval by a supervising physician and surgeon for the particular patient. Therefore, cause exists for discipline pursuant to section 3527 of the Code.

- 25. Respondent's conduct, as alleged in paragraph 16 through 18, hereinabove, constitutes a violation of sections 2242(a) and 3527 of the Code in that respondent prescribed dangerous drugs and controlled substances without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor. Therefore, cause exists for discipline pursuant to section 3527 of the Code.
- 26. Respondent's conduct, as alleged in paragraph 16 through 18, hereinabove, constitutes a violation of sections 2239(a) and 3527 of the Code in that respondent used and/or prescribed to herself and/or administered to herself dangerous drugs and controlled substances. Therefore, cause exists for discipline pursuant to section 3527 of the Code.
- 27. Respondent's conduct, as alleged in paragraph 16 through 18, hereinabove, constitutes a violation of section 810(a) of the Code in that respondent knowingly presented or caused to be presented a false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance, and/or knowingly prepared, made, or subscribed a writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allowed it to be presented or used in support of a false or fraudulent claim. Therefore, cause exists for discipline pursuant to section 810(a) of the Code.
- 28. Respondent's conduct, as alleged in paragraph 16 through 18, hereinabove, constitutes a violation of section 2238 of the Code in conjunction with sections 4324 and 3527 of the Code in that respondent signed the name of another, or of a fictitious person, or falsely made, altered, forged, uttered, published, passed, or attempted to pass, as genuine, a prescription for drugs and/or had in her possession drug(s) secured by a forged prescription. Therefore, cause exists for discipline pursuant to section 3527 of the Code.
- 29. Respondent's conduct, as alleged in paragraph 16 through 18, hereinabove, constitutes a violation of section 2238 of the Code in conjunction with sections 3527 of the Code in that respondent violated Health and Safety Code section 11152 and/or 11153 and/or 11154 and/or 11156 and/or 11157 and/or 11170 and/or 11171 and/or 11173(a) and/or

1	11173(b) and/or 11174 and/or 11180 and/or 11368 and/or 11371 and/or 11371.1. Therefore,
2	cause exists for discipline pursuant to section 3527 of the Code.
3	<u>PRAYER</u>
4	WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters
5	herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Committee issue a decision:
6	1. Revoking or suspending Physician Assistant License Number PA 15085
7	heretofore issued to respondent Jill Bertoldo;
8	2. Ordering respondent to pay the Committee the actual and reasonable costs
9	of the investigation and enforcement of this case;
10	3. If probation is included in any order issued herein, to order respondent to
11	pay the costs of probation; and
12	4. Taking such other and further action as the Committee deems necessary
13	and proper.
14	
15	DATED: May 25, 2004
16	Richard J. Wallander
17	Richard L. Wallinder, Jr.
18	Executive Officer Physician Assistant Committee of the
19	Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs
20	State of California
21	Complainant
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	•
28	\